

Level 3 – AS91581 – 4 Credits – Internal

Investigate Bivariate Measurement Data

Written by Jake Wills – MathsNZ – jwills@mathsnz.com

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Investigate bivariate measurement data.	Investigate bivariate measurement data, with justification.	Investigate bivariate measurement data, with statistical insight.

Part 1: Problem	2
Part 2: Plan	4
Part 2.1: Identifying the Variables	4
Part 2.2: Naming the Source	6
Part 3: Data – Using NZGrapher	8
Part 4: Analysis	9
Part 4.1: Trend	9
Part 4.2: Association	11
Part 4.3: Relationship	13
Part 4.4: Scatter	15
Part 4.5: Unusual Values (Outliers)	17
Part 4.6: Grouping	19
Part 4.7: Interpretation of Regression Line	21
Part 4.8: Predictions	23
Part 4.9: Using the Graph for Confidence in Predictions	25
Part 4.10: Cause and Effect and Correlation	25
Part 4.11: Residuals	26
Part 5: Conclusion	28
Part 6a: Writing Your Own Internal 1	30
Part 6b: Writing Your Own Internal 1	32
Data Set Information	34
Assessment Guidelines – 91581 – Investigate Bivariate Measurement Data	36



Part 1: Problem

For each of the graphs below write a good relationship question. A question should have:

- Why you are interested in looking at this relationship (i.e. the context).
- What you are trying to find a relationship between.
- What you are planning on predicting (what is on the y-axis).

You should be referencing your context (i.e. research – it doesn't matter how you reference, just that you do... using footnotes is normally easiest). The first one has been done for you.









Part 2: Plan Part 2.1: Identifying the Variables

The next thing that we need to do is identify our variables and say what units are being used. The independent variable is the variable on the x-axis, and the dependent variable is the variable on the y-axis that we are wanting to predict.

Identify the variables for each of the graphs below. The first one has been done as an example for you.









Part 2.2: Naming the Source

In order for our report to have validity, we need to state where the data has come from. Name the source for each of the graphs below. The first one has been done as an example for you.

Hint: Use the Information of all of the data sources.









Part 3: Data – Using NZGrapher

The next section that we need to do is the data section. This is reproducing the graphs on Page 2 using NZGrapher. The example below will go through using the cars dataset for weight by engine size.

NZGrapher runs on anything with a browser... Macs, PCs, iPad, Android, ChromeBooks and more.



Now it is your turn. For each dataset you need to produce the scatter plot for each dataset. Don't forget to add appropriate titles and units to your graph and axis.



Part 4: Analysis

We now start on the Analysis section of our report. The acronym we use for this section is TARSOG. The most important thing that you need to remember in this section is that what you can see with your eyes in the most important, not just the numbers, and your comments should be linked to the context.

Note: to be going for a **Merit** or **Excellence** Grade in this TARSOG section you need to be justifying these features in context and using research to back up your statements.

Part 4.1: Trend

The first comment we need to make is about the trend. There are three statements we need to make about the trend.

- How strong the trend is: weak, moderate, strong (or somewhere in between),
- If the trend is positive or negative (does it go up or down) and
- Is the trend linear (most circumstances we look at forming a straight line) or non-linear.

Write a trend statement for each of the datasets. Again the first one has been done for you.







4. Babies Birth Weight by Mother's Age



5. Fuel Efficiency by Engine Size



6. Diamond Price by Size





Part 4.2: Association

Association is about explaining why the relationship is either positive or negative, and it is important to link back to the context.

Discuss the association for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you









Part 4.3: Relationship

The statement about the relationship is about justifying the strength of the trend that **you can see** on your graph. It is important that you are commenting on what **you can see**. You can use the correlation coefficient (r-value) to **back up** your strength statement, but it should only be used as a backup... **what you can see** is the most important.

The r-value is a number between -1 and 1 indicating how strong the relationship is. The closer it is to 1 or -1 the stronger the relationship is, and the closer it is to zero the weaker the relationship is. A positive r-value indicates that the trend is positive, a negative r-value indicated the trend is negative.

The number line below is **just a guide**, remember what you can see with your eyes is most important.

Sti	rong N	Noderate	Weak	No F	Relation	ship	Weak	Moderate	Stro	ng
-1	-0.8	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1

Discuss the relationship for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.







4. Babies Birth Weight by Mother's Age



5. Fuel Efficiency by Engine Size



6. Diamond Price by Size





Part 4.4: Scatter

In the scatter section you need to look and see how consistent the scatter is. Are there any areas that are denser or sparser than others?

Discuss the scatter for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.









Part 4.5: Unusual Values (Outliers)

In a large number of graphs there will be points (1 or 2) that do not follow the trend. These are called unusual values or outliers. When you identify an outlier you need to find it on the data list and find out as much information about it as you can in order to explain why it might be an outlier.

You could be thinking about the impact of these outliers on your model.

Discuss the outliers for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.









Part 4.6: Grouping

Sometimes in graphs you can end up with two groups (or clusters) of data. If this happens you need to comment on it and what might be causing it, otherwise you can comment that there is not any obvious grouping. Again link it to what you can see.

Discuss the grouping for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.









Part 4.7: Interpretation of Regression Line

Teachers note: this is not a requirement of the standard, but it does round out the discussion nicely.

One of the key bits of information that we get given from NZGrapher is the equation of the regression line. Interpreting the gradient of this regression line is an important comment to make. It is vital that you realise that this is only giving the **average** increase over the whole graph, and not a fixed amount for every unit.

Interpret the regression line for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.



Maths NZ students





Part 4.8: Predictions

As well as interpreting the regression line we need to use this line to make **at least two** predictions and comment on how reliable we think the predictions are based on the strength of the relationship and the scatter on the graph close to the point we are predicting.

In order to do this you need to substitute two different values into the equation. With bivariate data we can only safely make predictions inside our data range, so your predictions should be able to be plotted on your graph. It is also vital that you **round the prediction sensibly** (usually the same as the original data for that variable was rounded to).

You could expand on this further by discussing the confidence in the predictions in depth or linking this to the residuals (see section later on). You could also reflect on how relevant these predictions are.

Make two predictions inside the data range for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.



Maths NZ students





Part 4.9: Using the Graph for Confidence in Predictions

Looking at graph and how the scatter is can give us a good indication as to how reliable our predictions are likely to be, and therefore create an interval that we think our predictions might be in.

If we look at this graph here we can see that most of the points are between the dotted lines (you put these in manually by eye). If we go back to the prediction that I made earlier... we can't be completely certain a car that weighs 1200kg will have a fuel efficiency of 27 km/l, but we can be reasonably confident that the fuel efficiency for that car will be somewhere between 20 and 31 km/l.



Part 4.10: Cause and Effect and Correlation

Teachers note: this is not a requirement of the standard, but it does round out the discussion nicely.

While there is a relationship between two variables, there are two reasons why we cannot make causal statements:

- We don't know the direction of the cause – Does X cause Y or does Y cause X?

- A third variable may be involved that is responsive for the covariance between X and Y, we call this a lurking variable.

Causal relationships can only be determined by controlled experiments, which we look at in a different standard.

Part 4.11: Residuals

Teachers note: this is not a requirement of the standard, but it does round out the discussion nicely.

One of the ways that we can analyse how well our model fits the data and therefore how reliable our predictions are is by looking at the residuals. We can create a plot of the residuals using NZGrapher.

This part is really easy... all you need to do is change the graph type from the graph that we did earlier to 'residuals'.

This gives the output shown to the right, which shows the expected (or fitted) values on the x-axis and the difference between the fitted and the actual (the residual) on the y-axis.

The line that is put in is a weighted average curve that shows the overall trend of the data.

1. Fuel Efficiency by Weight



For each of the sets of data, generate the residuals plot and use this to justify how accurate you think your predictions are, the first one has been done for you.









4. Babies Birth Weight by Mother's Age



5. Fuel Efficiency by Engine Size



6. Diamond Price by Size





Part 5: Conclusion

We now need to make a concluding statement to summarise our report. You need to include a statement around the relationship, and it needs to be linked back to what you are investigating.

Make a conclusion for each of the sets of data, the first one has been done for you.



Maths NZ students



Congratulations, you now have written up a report for 5 different sets of data.



Part 6a: Writing Your Own Internal 1

Using the framework below write a report on the kiwi data.







Part 6b: Writing Your Own Internal 1

This time you have just been provided with a title and graphs. Using these write your own internal. This is using the Sports Science dataset.

BMI and Body Fat









Data Set Information

Babies

The data on 189 births were collected at Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, Mass. during 1986. The goal of this study was to identify risk factors associated with giving birth to a low birth weight baby (weighing less than 2500 grams). Data was collected on 189 women, 59 of which had low birth weight babies and 130 of which had normal birth weight babies.

Variable	Description
LowBirthWeight	No = Birth Weight \geq 2500g
	Yes = Birth Weight < 2500g
MothersAge	Age of the Mother in Years
Race	Race of the mother
MotherSmoke	Smoking Status During Pregnancy
FTV	Number of Physician Visits During the First Trimester
BirthWeight	Birth Weight in Grams

Cars

With rising costs of owning and running a car, and environmental awareness, buyers are becoming more conscious of the features when purchasing new cars. The data supplied is for new vehicles sold in America in 1993.

Variable	Description
Vehicle Name	
Origin	Country of manufacture
	America
	Foreign
Price	US \$1000
Туре	Small, midsize, large, compact, sporty, van
City	City MPG (miles per gallon by EPA rating)
OpenRoad	Highway MPG
Drive Train	Front Wheel Drive
	Rear Wheel Drive
Engine Size	Size in litres
Manual Transmission	Yes, No
Weight	Weight of car in Kg

Diamonds

Every diamond is unique, and there are a variety of factors which affect the price of a diamond. Insurance companies in particular are concerned that stones are valued correctly. Data on 308 round diamond stones was collected from a Singapore based retailer of diamond jewellery, who had the stones valued.

Variable	Description		
Carat	Weight of diamond stones in carat units 1 carat = 0.2 grams		
Colour	Numerical value given for quality of colour ranging from 1=colourless to 6=near colourless		
Clarity	Average = score 1, 2 or 3		
	Above average = score 4, 5 or 6		
Lab	Laboratory that tested & valued the diamond, 1 = laboratory 1, 2 = laboratory 2		
Price	Price in US dollars		



Kiwi

A sample of kiwi birds around New Zealand was collected in order to help with conservation efforts. The original data is from: <u>http://www.kiwisforkiwi.org/</u> and was sourced from the secondary school guides (<u>http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz/Mathematics-and-statistics/Achievement-objectives/AOs-by-level/AO-S7-1</u>)

Variable	Description		
Species	GS-Great Spotted		
	NIBr-NorthIsland Brown		
	Tok-Southern Tokoeka		
Gender	M-Male		
	F-Female		
Weight(kg)	The weight of the kiwi bird in kg		
Height(cm)	The height of the kiwi bird in cm		
Location	NWN-North West Nelson SF-South Fiordland		
	CW-Central Westland	N-Northland	
	EC-Eastern Canterbury	E-East North Island	
	StI-Stewart Island	W-West North Island	
	NF-North Fiordland		

Teachers note: this is a synthesised dataset based on real data. At the time of creating the data set there were around 25,000 brown, 17,000 great spotted and 34,500 southern tokoeka. These numbers formed the basis of the data set, but instead of being out of around 76,000 the data set contains around 700 birds. The data was generated using the population parameters, including gender, location, height, weight and species in Fathom. The size of the population was so that it was too big to use all the data (when doing by hand) but not too big that it couldn't be created for students to use as a "population" to sample from.

Marathon

The data is a sample taken from marathons in NZ. It is a simple random sample of 200 athletes.

Variable	Description
Minutes	How many minutes they completed the marathon in
Gender	Male (M) or Female (F)
AgeGroup	Younger (under 40) or older (over 40)
StridelengthCM	The persons average stride length over the marathon in cm.

Rugby

The data is real data and comes from http://www.rugby-sidestep-central.com/

Variable	Description
Country	New Zealand or South Africa
Position	Forward or Back
Weight	The weight of the player in kilograms (kg)
Height	The height of the player in metres (m)



Assessment Guidelines – 91581 – Investigate Bivariate Measurement Data

	Achieved (all compulsory)	Merit	Excellence
Problem	Identify a purpose and pose a relationship question which is informed by contextual knowledge.	The question is justified in context and linked to research.	The choice of variables is reflected on and linked to the context and research.
Plan	Data source is identified. The explanatory and response variables are clear.		
Data	Scatter plot(s) is produced with tile and labelled axis, units should be evident		
Analysis	Students need to use a visual inspection to describe features in the data, before fitting a model. Features need to include the strength and direction of the relationship, and could include whether a linear model is appropriate, clusters and unusual values. All comments should be in context.	Students need to take care to justify the existence of any unusual value/outlier with reference to the data set and the context. Evidence from the display is used to justify the features discussed	Contextual evidence and research is integrated to support discussion about the features of the data.
Model Fitting	An appropriate model is fitted and its equation is expressed in context.	Students could interpret the gradient in context and/or comment on the strength of the fit using the r value. Students discuss how well the model fits the data across the x value range in context. An analysis of the residuals may be used.	The fit of the model could be discussed by use of a residual plot with insight. The adequacy and strength of the model is reflected upon.
Predictions	A prediction is made for the response variable, using the model equation, in context, for at least one value of the explanatory variable. Prediction should be rounded appropriately.	The precision of the prediction could be discussed by reviewing the strength of the relationship and the scatter on the graph close to the relevant explanatory data value. Causation may be discussed in context.	The precision of the prediction could be discussed by use of error lines and/or a residual plot with insight. The relevance of predictions could be reflected upon with insight. Causation discussion linked to research with insight. Reflect on the model and consider other regression models based on evidence from the display or residual plot and justify and evaluate such models with insight including reflecting on prediction values. Improvements to the model could be considered by considering other variables e.g. separating the variable into relevant subsets or looking at another related variable with insight
Conclusion	Students need to answer their investigative question and state the nature of the relationship. The conclusion links to the purpose of the investigation.	The conclusion is linked to the question with contextual and evidential support.	Reflect on the investigation. Discuss limitations of and improvements to the investigation with insight.

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria in the Achievement Standard. Evidence for the award of a grade may be found anywhere in the report.